

**VILLAGE OF PITTSFORD
APRB SPECIAL MEETING
Monday February 11, 2013 at 7:00 PM**

PRESENT:

Members:	William McBride Cristina Lanahan Maria Huot Erin Daniele
----------	---

Village Attorney:	Jeff Turner
Building Inspector:	John Limbeck
Recording Secretary:	Linda Habeeb

Member McBride called the meeting to order at 5:30 pm.

**Pittsford Canalside Properties, LLC, 75 Monroe Avenue
Present: Chris DiMarzo, Anthony DiMarzo, Mark IV; Mike Rosen, Martin Architectural Group**

Mr. DiMarzo gave a brief review of the status of the project, and introduced Mike Rosen, of the Martin Architectural Group, the architect for the project. Mr. Rosen explained that he has experience in designing multi-dwelling residences that are sensitive to environmental and historic preservation issues. He presented preliminary plans to the Board, and stated that he is interested in soliciting input from board members and the community regarding the plans. Mr. Turner stated that this meeting is not a public hearing.

Mr. Rosen pointed out the changes that have been made to the plans since the original plans were presented to the Board: the restaurant has been relocated from the middle of the project to the entrance of the site; the project has been reduced from seven buildings to five, resulting in a reduction of approximately 200 feet of linear length; and the clubhouse is currently at a lower level than on the original plans, making it more accessible to the public. The current proposal has buildings of varying heights, materials, and colors.

Member McBride stated that according to Resolution No. 20 of 2012, to be architecturally compatible with the canal commercial style of Schoen Place, as well as the Village, new construction must relate to the general scale of Schoen Place and embody variety in architectural style, in mass, scale, and height. He questioned Mr. Rosen as to which elements of the proposed plans would meet this requirement. Mr. Rosen stated that the promenade, the gazebos, and the clubhouse would meet this requirement. He also pointed out that there is a difference between this project and Schoen Place, in that the proposal is for residential apartments, not retail.

Other issues discussed were concerns with too much detail on the buildings, and the repetitiveness of some of the elements. Mr. Rosen explained that because these are residential apartments, there are certain elements that are required to be incorporated in the plans. Another concern was the lack of landscaping on the site. Mr. DiMarzo stated that there are Fire Code regulations that limit the amount of landscaping that can be added to the site.

ADJOURNMENT: There being no further business, Member McBride adjourned the meeting at 7:00 pm.

Linda Habeeb, Recording Secretary