

**Village of Pittsford**  
**Architectural and Preservation Review Board**  
**Regular Meeting – July 13, 2000 at 7:30 PM**

**PRESENT:**

**Chairperson:** Blake Held  
**Members:** Steve Melnyk  
Trip Pierson  
**Recording Secretary:** Mary Marowski

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Blake Held at 7:30 PM.

**1. Patricia Lankheet – 30 Boughton Avenue**

**Arlene Bengtson – 32 Boughton Avenue – Joint application – Fence**

**Present:** Patricia Lankheet and Arlene Bengtson

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/19/00. Approved by the building inspector 6/21/00.

**Specifics:** Residents state they would like to complete fencing along the northern boundary of the adjoining properties with a 6 foot board-on-board pressure treated wood fence to be aged naturally. There is a wire fence at the end of the property but the question remains as to who has ownership of the parcel.

**Motion:** Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the fence as shown to the undisputed end of the lot. Continued fencing is contingent upon the results of the disputed area.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

**2. Evelyn Ballieul – 80 South Street – Window replacement**

**Present:** Art Rothfus, Contractor

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 5/23/00. Approved by the building inspector 6/5/00.

**Specifics:** Prior vinyl window returned to Pace Windows and the roof was repaired which created had the window leakage. Proposed window replacement (54" x 60") will include removing storm window and sash and replacing with a wooden sash and insulated glass, wood frame window, no muntins bars and no storm window and no divided lites. Existing aluminum clad casing stops at the storm window will be replaced with new trim that wraps into the sash.

**Motion:** Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the window application as submitted based on the following: 1. Original window had divided lites. 2. It is not uncharacteristic to the era of the home (1956) to have a single large picture unit. 3. Home has one example of this currently.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

**3. DelMonte Lodge – E. J. DelMonte Corp. – 41 N. Main Street – Signs**

**Present:** John Tengeres

**Applications:** Submitted and date stamped 6/21/00. Approved by the building inspector 6/27/00.

**Specifics:** *Sign #1: The DelMonte Lodge:* Sign is already mounted on the west elevation of the building facing the railroad burm. It is inside the vestibule that is the secondary entrance to the hotel. There is a light over it.

*Sign #2: Hotel Entrance:* The corporation would like to add this sign directly to the fascia of the building at the west elevation entrance facing the railroad burm. It will have brass lettering.

*Sign #3: Ernie's:* Placement of this sign is the east elevation between the hotel and the old train station next to the entry doorway in the corridor. The sign will have marble backing with brass lettering on top.

**Motion:** Member Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve all signs as presented.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

**4. Mark & Lynn Szakos – 21 Washington Avenue – Garage door replacement**

**Present:** Mark and Lynn Szakos

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/28/00. Approved by the building inspector 6/28/00.

**Specifics:** Resident would like to replace two existing garage doors with two Waynemark 8300 series Wayne Dalton white insulated doors. Existing doors are not original to the garage.

**Motion:** Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve two Waynemark 8300 Wayne Dalton insulated doors to replace the existing doors.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

**5. Lake Plain Associates, Inc. – Sunoco Station – 9 Monroe Avenue – Canopy lighting and Fascia sign**

**Present:** John Wehrlin

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/21/00. Approved by the building inspector 5/31/00.

**Specifics:** **#1. Canopy Lighting:** Light replacement application is withdrawn. Corporation will keep current lights including wire goosenecks. Signs will remain unchanged.

**#2. Fascia Sign:** Corporation is proposing to install a sign that would project over the entire front of the building. The graphic sign with the Sunoco logo measures 56’8” by 2’.

Board had stated that even though this building is not a historic structure (built in 1960), it should maintain the historic character of the Village. The sign is allowed to be 30 square feet. It could be reduced to be over the garage section of the building only. Options that were discussed: 1. Sign that reads Sunoco to be a maximum of 30’ to be mounted on the garage. 2. Individual letters spelling Sunoco placed across the garage fascia with the same color scheme as the rest of the building.

**Decision was tabled** regarding the fascia sign pending further consultation with corporation.

**#3. Pump Signs:** Signage changes on pumps as shown on drawings approved.

**Motion:** Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Held, to approve the pump signs as presented on the drawings submitted.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

**6. Natalie Findlay – 42 E. Jefferson Road – Siding**

**Present:** Natalie Findlay

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/15/00. Approved by the building inspector 6/22/00.

**Contractor:** Rochester Custom Exteriors

**Specifics:** Resident’s home was built in 1956. The house has some damaged and deteriorated cedar siding panels and therefore, resident is proposing to have the house vinyl sided. The Board has **tabled the decision** pending an architectural assessment through Historic Pittsford.

**7. Frank & Jane Botelho – 66 State Street – Chimney repairs**

**Present:** Frank & Jane Botelho

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/21/00. Approved by the building inspector 6/22/00.

**Contractor:** Bri-Con Construction Inc.

**Specifics:** Resident is proposing to restructure the chimney that is too tall for the home and is deteriorating. Resident states it is still a functional chimney but only for the purpose of venting a hot water heater. Drawings indicate the chimney would be rebuilt per building code specifications to a height of 10’ maximum clearance over the house plus an additional 2’ and will replicate the existing chimney.

**Motion:** Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the application as submitted.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk –yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

**8. Chase Manhattan Bank – 31 State Street – Lighting**

**Present:** Tom Swartele

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/21/00. Approved by the building inspector 4/20/00.

**Contractor:** Grubb & Ellis

**Specifics:** Parking lot fixtures on poles near ATM lighting is 1900 circa and doesn’t adequately perform anymore. Bank is proposing an “Entablature” non-glare light that is similar to the roofline of

the bank. It will have a powder coat with baked black enamel, which will blend in with the other fixtures and will be mounted on four poles.

**Motion:** Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the lighting as submitted and approved in the site plan.

**Vote:** Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes *Motion carried*

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:45 PM.

---

Mary Marowski, Recording Secretary