

Village of Pittsford
Architectural and Preservation Review Board
Regular Meeting – September 7, 2000 at 7:30 PM

PRESENT:

Chairperson: Blake Held
Members: Steve Melnyk
Beth Sullivan
Ken Willard
Recording Secretary: Mary Marowski

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Blake Held at 7:30 PM.

1. Tim and Emily Haines – 17 Boughton Avenue – Fence

Present: Mr. & Mrs. Haines
Application: Submitted and date stamped 8/23/00. Approved by the building inspector 8/23/00.
Specifics: Resident is proposing to extend the chain link fence to match the existing chain link fence to enclose the backyard. The fence is to be 36" in height with two gates.
Motion: Member Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Held to accept the application as submitted.
Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

2. Thomas Cummings and Beverly Lodke – 99 South Main Street – Fence

Present: Mr. & Mrs. Cummings
Application: Submitted and date stamped 8/23/00. Approved by the building inspector 8/23/00.
Specifics: Home was built circa 1840. Resident is proposing a wooden picket fence painted white. It will be 3' in height to the top rail. It will be located along the east side of the homeowner's driveway, curving to extend along the north side of the property boundary on Village Grove for approximately 40'. The driveway section of fence will have an arbor 9' in height and 5' wide.
Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk to approve the application as submitted. Fence design is complimentary to the Greek revival style. The fence will be made of pressure treated wood with cedar caps and painted white. The arbor over the driveway will be 9' in height and 5' wide.
Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

3. Michael Herzbrun – 9 Courtenay Circle – Chimney Repair

Present: Michael Herzbrun
Application: Submitted and date stamped 7/11/00. Approved by the building inspector 7/11/00.
Specifics: House is built in 1962. Resident is proposing to repair and reconstruct the deteriorating chimney exactly as existing with brick that is similar in color and standard dimension as the original bricks.
Motion: Member Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Held, to accept the application as submitted.
Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

4. Messner Carpeting – 19 Monroe Avenue – Signs

Present: Greg Messner
Application: Submitted and date stamped 8/24/00. Approved by the building inspector 8/24/00.
Specifics: The building was constructed in 1961 in the colonial revival style. It is the site of the former Pittsford Post Office. Proposed signs will be "carved and sandblasted, painted wood single face". Lettering and oval plaque is dimensional and raised. *Sign #1* is to be placed on the front of the building (frontage is 19 sq. ft.) It is 6' wide by 3.16' high. *Sign #2* will be mounted to the side of the

building (4.75 sq. ft.). It is 3' wide by 1.6' high. Background colors to be used are noted as cream color with a "pantone 1215C" for the oval and "872C pantone" for the type.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Sullivan to accept the application as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

5. Barry Lawrenz – 9 Wood Street – Windows and Door

Present: Dave Rupp, Contractor

Application: Submitted and date stamped 8/24/00. Approved by the building inspector 8/24/00.

Specifics: This application is for alterations to a previously approved application regarding doors and windows. *Windows:* The primary change will be to casement windows at the rear elevation. There is a sleeping porch at the rear of the home which were previously re-done with vinyl and were replaced with wood windows to match the house with the same requirements as previously approved. The number of windows was reduced. East, west and south elevations were replicated with casement windows or awnings as previously approved dimensions 47 3/8" x 38 1/4". The two sets of double hung windows on the porch were replaced with set of centered double hung windows. *Front Door* is an arch style stained wood with beveled lead glass in door. Old door was square and made of steel. Board has requested that photos accompany the revised drawings stating window dimensions. Front door has been considered as inappropriate for the era of the home and discussion has been **tabled**.

6. Gerald Clifford – 22 State Street – Windows

Present: Matthew Thompson, Contractor

Application: Submitted and date stamped 4/10/00. Approved by the building inspector 4/20/00.

Specifics: Contractor is seeking approval for already installed wood thermal glazed windows. Windows were replaced without permission or investigation into a means of repair. Old windows were disposed of. A Bero assessment was submitted for this property which has stated "...Since these windows and their frames were removed, we recommend replacing them with new sash replicating the original in size, with the same number of lights, and in muntin thickness". Three of the original windows will be retained since they are in good repair. The new windows do not match the original unequal 9 over 6 sash size.

Board has tentatively accepted the windows as presented pending a **site visit** to document the windows at their respective associated elevations.

7. Daniel Subtelny – 70 South Main Street – Windows

Present: Harold Filbert, Contractor

Application: Submitted and date stamped 8/23/00. Approved by the building inspector 8/23/00.

Specifics: Residence built in the 1920's. Contractor submitted information on work already done stating that windows at the residence were in need of repair. Upon commencement of the repairs, decided the cost of the work involved for the repairs needed (broken counterweight ropes, broken panes, missing mullion, broken grill, rotting sills, etc.) would far outweigh the cost of replacement windows. In recognition of this, the contractor replaced the windows with vinyl energy efficient thermal windows without seeking prior consent from the Village discussion centered on the use of materials such as vinyl on a structure that predates the common use of the material.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to deny the application as submitted based on the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Historic Rehabilitation*, vinyl windows are not appropriate to the era of the house.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

8. Luis and Lynn Mijangos – 13 Stonegate Lane – Outbuilding Alteration

Present: Luis Mijangos

Application: Submitted and date stamped 4/18/00. Approved by the building inspector 5/22/00.

Specifics: Resident is proposing to alter a small old brick building located at the front of the property to an art studio. A Bero assessment has been completed and stated "... further evolution is not inappropriate, provided the resulting use is appropriate for the property and the neighborhood."

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Willard, to approve the application as submitted based on the Bero assessment and previous Board discussions and the submission of construction drawings. It was stipulated that measured drawings confirming what was presented before the Board should be provided to the Village.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*

9. Scott Wallman – 11 Washington Road – Demolish Garage/Replace with New One

Present: Scott Wallman

Applications: Submitted and date stamped 8/25/00. Approved by the building inspector 8/25/00.

Specifics: Resident stated a tree fell on the garage and demolished it. He would like to replace the structure with a 20' x 24' wood garage. This building will have 2 garage doors measuring 7' x 8' with segmented arch top, one Anderson casement window 2' x 4', and wood siding painted to match the color of the house. Due to the zoning issue, the building inspector stated the new garage would need to be moved 3' from the side and rear lot lines.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Willard to approve the demolition of the garage contingent upon the replacement of a new garage as outlined in the application. Further stating the *findings of fact* as old flat roofed garage is in a severe state of disrepair and is not a historic structure.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

Minutes: August 8, 2000: Approved as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Sullivan – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:45 PM

Mary Marowski, Recording Secretary