

Village of Pittsford
Architectural and Preservation Review Board
Regular Meeting – March 12, 2001 at 7:30 PM

PRESENT:

Chairperson:	Blake Held
Members:	Steve Melnyk Trip Pierson Marcia Watt Ken Willard
Attorney	Jeffrey Turner
Board Liason:	Robert Corby
Building Inspector:	Skip Bailey
Recording Secretary:	Mary Marowski

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Blake Held at 7:30 PM.

1. Mr. & Mrs. Mulcahy – 31 West Jefferson Road – Windows

Present: Mr. & Mrs. Mulcahy

Contractor: Rochester Colonial

Application: Submitted and date stamped 2/20/01. Approved by the building inspector 2/20/01.

Discussion: Residents have proposed to replace seven previously denied vinyl windows with the installation of primed wood, double hung, low E, argon Lincoln windows with wood sashes. There are six first floor windows and one second floor (bathroom) window.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the application for Lincoln windows with primed wood sash replacement units. These windows will have primed wood exteriors with vinyl jam inserts. All other portions will remain as original.

Vote: Held- yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried.*

2. Don Owens – 18 North Main Street – Addition/Porch Restoration

Present: Don Owens, Contractor

Application: Original application submitted, dated stamped, and approved by the building inspector 1/24/01. Amended drawings submitted 2/27/01.

Discussion: The three wood windows in the new second story addition will be 3'1" x 5' 2" with trim and are identical to the first floor window addition. The north and south elevations of the building are identical. A 22" x 40" window located on the second floor will be relocated to the powder room on the first floor. Based on new drawings submitted, the porch windows measuring 2'2" x 5' 9" with the trim will be restored and reused. A new 3'0" x 6'0" wood door in the same style as the existing is proposed. The interior of the porch will remain the same. The painted handrail will be approximately 32" in height and attached to the post and trim. The pine steps, designed to code, will be painted. Lattice on the porch will be removed. The siding on the addition and porch renovation will be painted to match the existing siding.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the application as submitted based on previous discussion, new submitted drawings with a list of materials and elements labeled, designating a replication of existing and new features.

Vote: Held –yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

3. Brad and Dad's Homemade Ice Cream – 10 Schoen Place – Sign

Present: Brad Van Bortel

Application: Submitted, date stamped and approved by the building inspector on 2/20/01.

Discussion: Owner is proposing a wooden sandblasted 4' x 42" "Brad & Dad's Homemade Ice Cream" sign. The flat sign will have a teal background with white letters. The word "homemade" will be in raised yellow lettering on a dark blue background.

Motion: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the application as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

4. DelMonte Corp. – 41 North Main Street – sign

Present: John Tengeres

Application: Submitted, dated stamped, and approved by the building inspector 2/20/01.

Discussion: Mr. Tengeres stated the intention of the corporation is to revise the existing main building sign "The DelMonte Lodge" to include the statement "A Renaissance Hotel". The raised lettering will be polished brass to match the existing sign. The new dimensions for lettering will be 41 ½"H x 96" L.

Motion: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Willard to accept the sign application as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

5. Zornow-Hedges Funeral Home – 21 Lincoln Avenue – Door

Present: Mr. James Aiello, Owner

Application: Submitted, date stamped and approved by the building inspector on 2/26/01.

Discussion: Mr. Aiello had been sited with a "Notice of Violation" by Mr. Bailey regarding the unapproved door replacement to the 1940's addition of the 1896 built home. The owner stated the prior wooden door was warped and rotted around the door knob and lock areas and was unable to support new hardware. Efforts to repair and restore the doors proved unsatisfactory. This door was then replaced with a custom 18 gauge steel, insulated, six panel colonial door to be painted white in color and texture to match the original door in appearance.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the metal door as submitted based on the following *findings of fact*: 1. The Secretary of Interior Standards for Historic Rehabilitation states that "deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of the deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new feature shall match the old in design, color, texture, and other visual qualities and, where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features shall be substantiated by documentary, physical, or pictorial evidence." 2. The funeral home is an 1882 circa home with a 1940's addition as per Village records. 3. Steel was an available building material pertinent to the era even though it was not readily available. 4. The current usage is designed for high volume commercial use. 5. Door is a less permanent feature of a house. 6. Replaced metal door is of the same six panel dimensions and colonial design and when painted, the door will have the same appearance as the original in color, texture and design.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes; *Motion carried*

The Architectural Board had noted in the pictorial documentation submitted for the door, the carriage lighting mounted on the sides of the door is different in the "new" and "old" photos submitted. Mr. Aiello stated the lighting needed to be replaced because of rain seeping into the deteriorated fixtures and making them a hazard. The Board had directed the owner to research other possibilities for carriage lighting more historically accurate to the original carriage lights removed and to submit their information for approval.

6. St. Louis Church – 52 South Main Street – Renovations

Present: Father James Schwartz and Architect, Robert Healy

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved on 2/20/01. (Reference drawings A1.2: First floor plan; A1.4:Roof; A2.1and 2: West and North Exterior Elevations)

Discussion: Mr. Healy presented drawings previously approved by the Planning and Zoning Board. The porch will be left open and have a center and side entrance. Iron railings will be between the pillars with plantings to the front of the railings. The door and existing stained glass windows will remain. The floor will have a slate finish.

The current piers will be encased in brick due to deterioration and roofline change. There will be a concrete sidewalk that will intersect with the South Main Street sidewalk. A copper roof with hidden gutters is planned to cover the porch and 63 square foot stained glass windowed chapel addition. This will match the existing copper roof on the church. For the new meeting hall, an architectural style, colonial slate look shingle (“Independence” from CertainTeed) is proposed. The Narthex will have a shallow circular skylight. A decorative wall luminaire light fixture (Toledo) is proposed at the vestibule entrance of the Narthex. The west side of the church will have new Rambush stained glass wood clad windows. The Bell Tower will have a raw aluminum, painted black, screen mesh application installed to eliminate the current pigeon problem.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the application as submitted with the porch revisions presented: open porch option, maintain existing stained glass windows, replacement brick columns with new railings and steps up to the porch with existing slate floor. On the Bell Tower, the allowance for the application of aluminum grating and painted flat black. All other elements approved as indicated on the 2/9/01 drawings received. Architectural series shingles with a colonial slate look (Independence). Copper standing seam roof to match existing on church.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

Minutes:

1/4/01: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the minutes as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt –yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

2/5/01: Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Member Willard to approve the minutes as amended.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt –yes; Willard – yes *Motion carried*

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:30 PM.

Mary Marowski, Recording Secretary