

**Village of Pittsford
Architectural and Preservation Review Board
Regular Meeting –March 4, 2002 at 7:30 PM**

PRESENT:

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Chairperson: | Blake Held |
| Members: | Steve Melnyk Ken Willard Marcia Watt |
| Excused: | Trip Pierson |
| Attorney: | Jeffrey Turner |
| Board Liaison: | Robert Corby |
| Recording Secretary: | Mary Marowski |
| Building Inspector: | Skip Bailey |

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Blake Held at 7:30 PM.

1. John Limbeck – 62 State Street – Front Door

Present: John Limbeck

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 2/21/01.

Discussion: Mr. Limbeck is requesting approval of an existing steel front door with a sidelite. This door was installed (in the original location) by the previous owner to his 1908 home. The new door is a steel unit door. As per photo documentation, the original construction depicts a wood door with upper half glass and separate sidelite. The photo shows a wide mullion between them. The sidelite and door were separate units originally. The steel door unit replaces both within a single framed opening. This significantly alters the original character of this entry. The all-steel construction is also uncharacteristic of residential use. Mr. Limbeck also presented the Board with literature regarding a wood door replacement (style M182: full single light; wood frame) replicating the original door.

Findings of Fact: 1. House was built in 1908. 2. Record of the original door is consistent with the original era of the home. The door had a wood panel and is half glass, with a separate sidelite of smaller dimensions than the replacement unit. Original sidelite had a distinctive diamond pattern and divided muntins seen elsewhere on the house. The diamond pattern in the sidelite is a distinctive and key feature of the home. 3. Replacement door is a single unit and sidelite. It is a complete replacement of the door and window frame. Materials are substantially foreign to the original character of the house being predominately metal. 4. The method of assembly is foreign to residential construction being more commercial in nature. 5. The installed door is a solid panel style metal door without glass. 6. The sidelite is nearly full height with minimal division between it and the door and has five rectangular simulated divided lites with no exterior muntins. 7. Board has previously approved door of alternative material on homes based on the concept that the door itself is easily replaced, not the frame, and that the replacement door be consistent in appearance and style with the house. Most typically, approvals were given on newer additions to the home. 8. Secretary of the Interior Standards cited were: #2, #3, #5, and #6. 9. Village Code section 210-61 A 1 & 2, B1 & 2, C, and D1.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, based on the findings of fact, to deny the application to approve as constructed the replacement of the door and sidelite.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes **Motion Carried**
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 3/4/02.

The Board recommends a wood door (pine or oak) in a wood frame (required) in a pattern consistent with the original and painted. This pattern being half glass, shallow panel above it, and three panels, then two full lite panels below. Given the nature of the house, the preference for the diamond pattern for the sidelite is to be replicated in a style that would be consistent with the era of the house. A wood frame is required for the sidelight. The window is to be wood and painted. It is not to be clad.

2. St. Paul's Lutheran Church – 28 Lincoln Avenue – Garage Restoration

Present: Gary and Kyle Matthews

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 2/25/02.

Discussion: Mr. Kyle Matthews presented the Eagle Project before the APRB. He stated the garage renovations were needed due to the deterioration of the facility (built in the 1940's). He further stated the Church intended on using

the garage as a storage shed rather than as a garage. The proposed renovations were to include stripping the clapboard siding and replacing it with the same white pine bevel siding and painting it white. The rotted garage header and trim work would also be replaced. He further explained the Church would like to replace the garage doors with steel garage doors with no windows (Clopay Steel/Models 75). The Board stated this garage is not an original carriage house, but was built as a garage. The Board further stated a wood door replacement would be preferred since this garage is in full public view and wood is more consistent with the architectural style of adjacent properties (Village Code 210.60 A1.c). The man door on the side of the garage is also badly deteriorated and in need of replacement. A “Stanley “ steel door is proposed for a replacement in a style similar to the original door and painted white. The frame for the man door, which is deteriorated, would be replaced with a wood frame.

Motion: Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the application for the St. Paul Church garage for the replacement of clapboard siding, door header, new trim work replaced in kind and in all instances. The approval of the side man door with a steel door, installed in a wood frame, of the type presented and painted white to match the garage. The approval for the replacement of the garage doors for wood doors with four windows in the style that currently exists.

Finding of Fact: 1. Garage door is a simple wood sided garage, pre 1950’s. It has an existing panel with four glazed lites across space. 2. The man door to be replaced is barely visible, if at all, from the street. 3. Man door is not a character-defining feature. 4. Secretary of the Interior Standards cited: #1, #2, #5. 5. Village Code section 210-60 A(1)c.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/4/02.

3. Jean Louis Faber – Pasta Artiste- 7 Schoen Place – Signs

Present: Jean Louis Faber, owner

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 2/21/02.

Discussion: Mr. Faber is seeking approval for two signs: one vertical building mounted sign 24” x 36” (over entrance door) and on window sign 12” x 24” (interior mounted; behind the muttins, facing the street). It will be a painted metal sign with applied vinyl lettering.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Willard, to approve the signage as submitted, constructed in the materials (painted metal with frame) and frame size and location as presented.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/4/02.

4. Lori Scott – 19 State Street – Sign

Present: Carly Silver, Agent, 41 Atlantic Avenue #5, Rochester, New York 14607

Application: Submitted and date stamped 2/19/02. Building inspector approved 2/21/02.

Discussion: Ms. Silver is proposing one main sign with a drop sign to be placed on the right half of the building; centered vertically between the top and bottom sets of windows; centered horizontally between the exterior measurements of the windows. The wood sign will have a natural stain finish with engraved lettering painted a chestnut brown. There will be aluminum tubing combing the two signs mounted to the building. The top sign measures 8’W x 2’ H and will read “l’avant garbe - char – ac – ter”. The attached drop sign will be 7’W x 1’H and will read “Trish McEvoy cosmetics”.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Willard, to accept the sign as submitted for a wood sign (of natural pine with a clear finish) with incised lettering, bullets and lettering painted as presented.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/4/02.

5. Mike Newcomb – Hunter’s Bldg – 2 Monroe Avenue – Lighting

Present: Mike Newcomb

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 2/21/02.

Discussion: Mr. Newcomb has proposed uniform gooseneck lighting for the commercial building. There would be two lights mounted on the brick façade on Monroe Avenue and four mounted along the North Main Street side of the building. Mr. Newcomb further stated that currently there is fluorescent lighting mounted in the eaves of the building and it could be reconnected. The Board decided to **hold the application open pending a site visit** to determine if the fluorescent lighting under the eaves would be sufficient lighting for tenant signage.

Mr. Newcomb – 28 Lincoln Avenue – Windows - For information only

Mr. Newcomb stated the property he owns at 28 Lincoln Avenue is very deteriorated. He will need to replace the clapboard siding, doors, and some of the windows. There are, however, numerous styles of windows in this home and he was not certain of what the best choice window for that style of home and era would be. The Board discussed the many styles of windows in the home and stated the probable window for this home (1880's) was a single pane two over two. Mr. Newcomb will submit an application when he has the particulars for the windows replacement/repair.

6. DiBella's Old Fashioned Submarines – 14 South Main Street – Building Alterations

Present: Ken Bracker, Architect

Application: Submitted and date stamped 2/20/01. Building inspector approved 2/21/02.

Discussion: Mr. Bracker reviewed the newly submitted drawings with the Board for the building formerly known as Charter One Bank (constructed in the 1960's). He stated the proposed building lights are "Newbury" style in black. The five gooseneck lights (A812/41; E11/41; GR12/43) will be without a shield and in black. Three of these will be mounted on the front façade above the "DiBella's" sign over the 15 light window. The other two gooseneck lights will be mounted above the rear entrance to the building. The parking lot lights would be a shoebox style (SBX400 200-250 Watt Metal Halide; Housing Shield to be MCLHSS). On the front elevation, the existing free standing gas lanterns will remain. The drawings depict the closing of the former ATM with double doors. On the north elevation, the window will be closed in with brick. There are two metal louvers at the rear of the building that will be painted white as well as the six panel metal double door. The existing doors and windows will remain as depicted in the drawings. Signage will be presented at a latter date. Garbage will be stored internally.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Willard, to approve the application as submitted with exception for signage and Item #18 Light Sconce. The louver doors (newly installed in the ATM space) are to be painted white. The lot lighting, as previously approved by the Planning and Zoning Board, with fixtures as submitted being a shoebox style light with a back shield.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/4/02.

7. Mr. & Mrs. John Watt – 42 Monroe Avenue – Rear Addition

Present: Mr. & Mrs. Watt, owners
Trey Gregory, Designer, Grater Architects, PC.

Application: Submitted and date stamped 2/20/02. Building inspector approved 2/21/02.

Discussion: The original home was built in 1825 and an addition was put on in the 1920's. There have been significant alterations to the building over the years. It had gone from a clapboard home to a stucco finish exterior. There are a variety of window styles (casement and double hung), and sizes throughout the structure. The proposed addition is not visible from the North and East side of Monroe Avenue. The west elevation of the home has leaded glass.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the application as submitted for the 1825 home with the 1920's addition siting there have been significant changes made to the building over the years. The provision for proportions for the windows are stay the same. The owners are given the option for either casement windows or double hung windows to be placed in the proposed addition.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/4/02.

Minutes: February 4, 2002:

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the minutes as amended.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/4/02.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 10:30 PM.

Mary A. Marowski, Recording Secretary