

Village of Pittsford
Architectural and Preservation Review Board
Regular Meeting – March 3, 2003 at 7:30 PM

PRESENT: Members: Blake Held
Marcia Watt
Ken Willard
Steve Melnyk
Trip Pierson
Attorney: Jeffrey Turner
Board Liaison: Robert Corby
Recording Secretary: Mary Marowski
Building Inspector: Skip Bailey

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Held at 7:30 PM.

1. St. Louis Church – 52-64 Main Street South – Fence

Present: Ms. Sally Schruoker

Application: Submitted and date stamped 2/21/03. Building Inspector approved 2/25/03.

Discussion: The church would like to install a natural cedar fence along the eastern perimeter of the St. Louis playground to match the existing stockade fence on the adjacent property. The inner side of the fence will face the neighbors with the outer side facing the playground.

Motion: Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve an 8' stockade fence on the eastern perimeter of the St. Louis playground to match the existing stockade fence on the adjacent property. The material will be a natural cedar fence with the inner side of the fence to face the adjacent "Hoenig" property. The outer side of the fence will face the playground. **Findings of Fact:** The Planning and Zoning Board has issued an 8' height variance as well as installing the fence with inner side being outward.

Vote: Held – abstain; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision will be filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 3/3/03.

2. Suzanne's – 40 State Street - Awning

Present: Laura Beranis, Total Identity Group

Application: Submitted, date stamped and building inspector approved 2/10/03.

Discussion: Suzanne's will be expanding into the former Oak Orchard Canoe Shop location. The owner is seeking approval for an awning to protrude from the portico over the entrance way. It will be made of black fabric with silver lettering. It will extend out approximately one foot and the full length of the front façade. The Board was of the opinion that an awning was not an appropriate addition to the existing portico and discussed possible signage alternatives with the applicant. The Board sited Secretary of Interior Standards #3 and **held open** the application for resubmission of signage.

3. Sean and Jennifer Adams - 17 Eastview Terrace – Fence

Present: Mr. & Mrs. Adams

Application: Submitted, date stamped and building inspector approved 2/25/03.

Discussion: The owners are proposing to install a 3' vinyl picket fence with 42" posts along the property line along East Jefferson Road and Eastview Terrace for safety reasons. The post will be trimmed/flat top with 2 x 2 square pickets with a 2" nominal maximum gap between pickets. Bottom rail will be 1 x 6, top rail 1 ½ height face. The fence will have two gates. One gate will be on East Jefferson Road and the other on Eastview Terrace. The Board had stated that based upon Village Code #210-61 (b2), vinyl fencing would not be approved. Mr. Adams amended his application to reflect a wood fence, painted white with two gates and will meet the pre-existing fence. The existing chainlink fence will be removed. The fence will be 18" off the sidewalk.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by member Melnyk, to approve the wood picket fence with 42” posts, flat top, nominal 2 x 2 square with 2” max. Bottom rail will be 1 x 6, top will be 1 ½” face, wood, painted white in location as shown in the plan.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion carried*
The decision will be filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 3/3/03.

4. Nothnagel Realtors – 33 South Main Street – Front Parapet façade

Present: Patriot Construction

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 2/13/03.

Discussion: Metal roofing materials were placed over the previously stucco parapet façade. The Nothnagel building was constructed in 1814. The Board sited the Secretary of the Interior Standards #2, #3, #5, and #6

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, stating the metal material previously applied is to be removed from the front parapet and that the façade be restored to a stucco exterior as was original to the building. It is to be painted to match the other stucco on the building.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – abstain; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*

5. Jennifer & Scott Latshaw – 49 Monroe Avenue – Clarification of previously approved fence.

Application: Submitted, date stamped, building inspector approved 10/23/02. Planning and Zoning Board approved 10/28/02; APRB approved 11/4/02.

Discussion: The Planning and Zoning Board had approved a 4’ and 6’ section of fence along the eastern border of the stated property as shown on the survey submitted with the remaining fence sections to be 3’ high. The Architectural Preservation and Review Board approved the 3’ and 4’ sections of fence. The 6’ section was not included by owner for the APRB application. The two applications are not the same and the applicants were not present to provide clarification. The applicants will need to present their revised applications at both boards. Based on the submission, the APRB concluded that Mr. Pierson will contact the owners to inform them of the necessary information needed by the APRB. The application was *held open* pending more information.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 9:45 PM.

Mary A. Marowski
Recording Secretary