

Village of Pittsford
Architectural and Preservation Review Board
Regular Meeting –Monday, April 7, 2003 at 7:30 PM

PRESENT: Members: Blake Held
Steve Melnyk
Trip Pierson
Marcia Watt
Excused: Ken Willard
Attorney: Jeffrey Turner
Board Liaison: Robert Corby
Recording Secretary: Mary Marowski
Building Inspector: Skip Bailey

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Held at 7:30 PM.

1. Port of Chocolates – 10 Schoen Place – Signs

Present: J. Hendrich
Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/4/03. Building inspector approved 3/21/03.
Discussion: Business owner stated that it is his intention to place the “*Port of Chocolates, Inc!*” sign in the former owner’s sign location. This single sided 12” x 48” wall mounted sign will be made of 1.5” thick high density signfoam with a sandblasted wood grain appearance.
Motion: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the painted sign as submitted.
Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

2. Amy Noto – 50 State Street – Signs

Present: Tony Noto
Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/19/03. Building inspector approved 3/21/03.
Discussion: The business owner has proposed a 34” H x 72” W oval “*Archipelago Distinctive Lamps Elegant Furnishings*” sign to be centered over the second story window. It will be constructed of ¾” grade A exterior plywood and painted with flat paint. Background color will be gray with black lettering.
Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the sign as submitted.
Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

3. Soho Salon – 1 N. Main St. – Signs

Present: Chas Inzinna
Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/20/03. Building inspector approved 3/21/03.
Discussion: Shop owner is requesting approval of the two existing temporary signs. One sign is placed on the right side of the front façade of the Hunter Bldg. The other sign is located at the rear entrance to the building next to the Pittsford Tailors. The 10’L x 2’H “*the SOHO salon YOUR HAIR COLOUR SPECIALIST 586-7910*” sign on the front façade is painted yellow with red trim and red and black letters. The size of this sign meets the Village Code for signage. The 36” round “*The SOHO Salon*” sign at the rear of the building (west entrance) does not meet the Village Code and will require a variance. The applicant is proceeding with the application for the North Main Street sign only. The applicant also stated that he has had discussions with the other building tenant (Ensenat’s Deli) to place an awning over the two businesses on the Main Street façade, but at this time, this was only in the discussion stages. During Board discussion, it was stated that the existing Main Street sign would need to be centered within the entablature and have the sign background painted white to blend with the character of the building. According to Village Code sign ordinance 168-7 (Commercial Signs), phone

numbers are not permitted on signs. Due to the new business being established after the phone books were printed, the Board allowed the applicant a time extension for his temporary sign with the phone number displayed until the new phone directory was published or December 1, whichever came first.

Motion: Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to permit the existing North Main Street sign as a temporary sign provided that the yellow background be painted white and the placement of sign to fit comfortably with the entablature. The existing temporary sign when painted white will be permitted with the phone number displayed until the new phone directory is printed or until December 1st (whichever is first). **Findings of Fact:** 1. The new business owner did not get published in the phone directory, therefore, the Board is allowing the phone information on the temporary sign. 2. Applicant informed the Board of pending discussion of alternative signage with other tenant is in a state of flux.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

4. Mark Zawacki – 20 South Street – Fence

Present: Mr. Zawacki

Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/19/03. Building inspector approved 3/21/03.

Discussion: The owner is proposing to remove the existing chain link fence and replace it with a 3' high 'Cape Cod' style picket fence with 1' x 3' pickets to surround the entire property. The fence will have three gates: one facing South Street, the second facing Wood Street and the third gate will be off the driveway. It will be constructed of wood and painted white.

Motion: Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Held, to approve a 3' high, painted white, wood, 'Cape Cod' style picket fence surrounding the entire property as shown in the documents submitted. It will include the 6' addition at the rear of the garage. The southern property line is subject to approval of front set back by building inspector as noted in Village Code 210-70 (Visibility at Intersection).

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

5. John & Ann Limbeck – 62 State Street – Door/Window Replacement

Present: John Limbeck

Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/11/03. Building inspector approved 3/21/03.

Discussion: Mr. Limbeck is proposing to replace the front door with sidelight. The proposed door is a solid wood door with thermal glass and will be painted.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the wood framed, wood door with wood sidelight as submitted. Option to use either door style submitted (#5118 or #5144) for the entry door and #5702 for sidelight is acceptable.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

6. Jennifer Latshaw – 49 Monroe Ave – Fence

Present: Jennifer Latshaw

Application: Submitted, date stamped and building inspector approved 3/24/03.

Discussion: The resident stated that the Zoning Board has approved a 6' fence. She is proposing to have a 6' privacy fence installed on the line along the neighbor's property and a 4' fence facing Monroe Ave. All fence sections facing Sutherland Street will have a 3' high picket fence. The fence will be stained white.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the fence as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

7. Keith Miller – 27 N. Main Street – Gazebo/Boathouse

Present: Keith Miller

Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/3/03. Building inspector approved 3/21/03.

Discussion: Boathouse: Mr. Miller has stated the historic 20' x 20' garage that was dismantled earlier (APRB approved 5/13/02) will be relocated to the lot parallel to 27 ½ N. Main Street. It will be used as a boathouse. The sliding doors will face the canal.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the reconstruction of the historic garage as shown in the application site plan parallel to 27 ½ N. Main Street with the main doors (wood sliders) to face the canal and color scheme to match the rear house.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

Discussion: Gazebo: Mr. Miller stated the gazebo pictured in the application is within the canal set back. He will stain the cedar shaked pressure treated structure to match the main house.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the application as submitted stating the gazebo be stained or painted to match the main house.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

8. Suzanne's – 40 State Street – Awning

Present: Store Owner and Sherry Finzer

Application: Submitted, date stamped and building inspector approved 2/10/03.

Discussion: Sign: The agent for the applicant stated the new "Suzanne's" flat sign will be mounted on a tube frame with a vinyl canvas sunbrella. It will be painted and be mounted flush on the canopy of the building.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the sign as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – no *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

Discussion: Lighting: The owner stated the existing lighting mounted over the awning will be moved to the canopy of the building to illuminate the new 'Suzanne's' sign. They will be mounted to the fascia on either side of the vent.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the re-location of the existing lighting as presented disposed on either side of the existing vent, level with one another, on the gable of the portico.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

Discussion: Awning: The existing awning is currently used as signage for Suzanne's. The Board has stated that only one sign is allowed for the building and therefore, the awning sign will need to be altered or eliminated. The owner stated she would like to paint over the existing signage with a decorative emblem. This will be *left open* pending owner's research regarding the usability of the current emblem.

9. Peter & Helen LeRoux - 96 S. Main Street – Porch Renovations

Present: Roy Gordon, Vekton Corp., Contractor

Application: Submitted and date stamped 3/25/03. Building inspector approved 4/2/03.

Discussion: Mr. Gordon stated he has been secured by the owners to renovate a 10' x 20' enclosed patio. Previously, the patio contained a bank of storm windows set between pressure treated posts. He further stated there will be no change in the roof line, however, he will re-roof with EPDM.

Exterior new cedar and stone will be used to match the home. H also stated the owners would like to install a sliding door for the rear entrance to the porch. The porch will remain unheated. The Board discussed the variety of styles presented and materials proposed. They have sited as *findings of fact* that the home was built in 1936. It has an applied stone face with wood windows. It is sided in 6 ½"

cedar clapboard. 2. Renovations are to an existing enclosed patio. The application is being *held open* pending the submission of to scale drawings of a revised design using appropriate materials.

Minutes Review:

1/6/03:

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the amended minutes.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

3/3/03:

Motion: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the amended minutes.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 4/7/03.

ADJOURNMENT:

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 10:30 PM.

Mary A. Marowski, Recording Secretary