

Village of Pittsford
Architectural and Preservation Review Board
Regular Meeting – Monday, May 5, 2003 at 7:30 PM

PRESENT: Members: Blake Held
Marcia Watt
Ken Willard
Steve Melnyk
Trip Pierson
Attorney: Jeffrey Turner
Board Liaison: Robert Corby
Recording Secretary: Mary Marowski
Building Inspector: Skip Bailey

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Held at 7:30 PM.

1. Carol Gardner – 15 & 17 South Main Street – Sign

Present: Carol Gardner

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/22/03.

Discussion: Ms. Gardner is proposing two signs for a new business (*Sunshine Blvd. "your road to natural health"*). The South Main Street sign will be 2.5'H x 8'W and will be centered over the window. The Monroe Avenue sign will be 1' W x 5'H and will be placed at the corner of the building. The proposed signs will be in the same location and are the same dimensions as the previous owner's signs. Both signs will be painted with a light yellow background, black lettering, and a yellow and orange sunburst.

Motion: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the application as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

2. Rebecca DiCraсто – 6 South Main Street – Sign

Present: Rebecca DiCraсто

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/29/03.

Discussion: Ms. DeCraсто is planning to open for business next week and is proposing a vinyl sign overlay (*Chevy II Hair*) over the existing sign. The temporary sign features the business phone number. The Board has stated phone numbers are prohibited as per Village Code (210-68) for the permanent sign.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the sign overlay contingent upon the final approval of sign graphics without the phone number.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

3. Jonathan & Caroline Murray – 29 South Street – Fence

Present: Jonathan Murray

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/22/03.

Discussion: The owner has proposed to install a 3'h fence with 3 ½" pickets, 2 ½" apart, on 42" posts. It will be constructed of wood and painted.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the fence, constructed of wood and painted, in the location as stated in the application.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

4. Peter & Jill Crooker – 15 Sutherland Street – Fence

Present: Peter Crooker

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/23/03.

Discussion: Mr. Crooker is proposing to remove the existing picket fence and install a trellis fence, constructed of cedar wood and stained white. The trellis will run 27' along the south side of the property, 70' from the front edge of the property to the corner of the garage. It will not exceed 6'h and will be supported by 4" x 4" posts with 1 ¾" caps. The interlocking panels will step down with the grade.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the 6'h orthogonal lattice panels, supported by 4" x 4" posts, and stained white trellis as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

5. Michael Mooney – 18 Eastview Terrace – Fence

Present: Michael Mooney

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/30/03.

Discussion: Mr. Mooney is proposing to install a 3' painted white wood fence with 42" posts, 3 ½" pickets, 3 ½" space between pickets with steel rods to brace the rails for support on the driveway gate. It will start at the house and enclose the side yard.

Motion: Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Held, to approve as submitted the 3'h white picket fence in the dimension and location as submitted. In addition, the extension of gate across the driveway is reinforced with steel rods and is concealed behind the crosspieces. Fence will be wood and painted/stained white. Revised drawings with the option to extend the gate need to be submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

6. Tom & Mary Dannhauser – 16 Locust Street – Window Replacement

Present: Mr. & Mrs. Dannhauser

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/30/03.

Discussion: The owners are proposing to replace two windows into the existing openings on the second floor. The bathroom window on the east elevation is 27" w x 44" h and will have translucent privacy glass. The window on the north (back of house) side of the home is 27" w x 53" h. The new Pella windows will be one over one with no divided lites.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the Pella replacement sash system, all wood windows, with insulated glass. The bathroom window will have insulated, translucent privacy glass.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

7. First Presbyterian Church – 25 Church Street – Window Replacement

Present: Pace Windows & Doors, Contractor

Application:

Discussion: The contractor stated that there are 11 basement windows (awning/double hung) sited for replacement. Proposed windows are vinyl replacement windows with aluminum casing. The building was constructed in 1968. The Board stated the building is of historic civic prominence in the community and the windows are defining elements in the overall character of the structure. This application was *held open*. A Board member will contact the church regarding the Board's review of this application.

8. Pittsford Little League – Bob Ford Field – Garage Siding

Present: Todd Cymerman, Steve Bailey

Application: Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 4/23/03.

Discussion: The *findings of fact* state: 1. A previously approved application dated 5/1/02 gave approval for the T-111 siding, however, when the structure was built last year, it was finished in vinyl siding. This is a public facility that is visible from the canal only. The original construction of the snack bar is 1968. It is constructed of simple flat concrete block and T-111 siding. Structure is inconsistent with character of Village in design and material but is not a historic structure. It is a prominent civic structure that is secluded from other buildings in the Village. Proposed completed alterations were made to enhance the design of the building and intended to match the character of design elements common in the Village. 2. The structure, while serving a prominent public service, is in a location visibly isolated from all other structures in the village. 3. Built in 1968, the building was comprised of painted concrete block and simple forms and openings. No attempt was made aesthetically to reach beyond its purely utilitarian purpose. No effort was made to match any existing context within the Village. No redeeming visual characteristics would be lost through alteration. 4. The use of vinyl as a siding material is consistent in era with the original construction of the building. 5. Vinyl siding introduced over the existing concrete block alters the visual characteristics of the building by giving it a general appearance – through shadow lines – more in line with the clapboard siding common throughout the village. 6. However, the methods of applications of vinyl siding – such as the required bead trim at all terminus points – create a visual effect clearly distinct from wood siding, particularly around window and door casings. Therefore, if is not viewed by this Board to be an equal to or acceptable alternative for wood siding.

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, that based on the findings of fact stated above, the application for vinyl siding of 4” exposure clapboard design, double hung window and other design alterations intended to enhance the character of the building is approved as submitted.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard - yes *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

Minutes Review:

4/7/03:

Motion: Chairperson Held made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the amended minutes.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard - abstain *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

4/21/03:

Motion: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the amended minutes.

Vote: Held – yes; Melnyk – yes; Pierson – abstain; Watt – yes; Willard - abstain *Motion Carried*
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 5/5/03.

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 9:15 PM.

Mary A. Marowski, Recording Secretary