

**Village of Pittsford**  
**Architectural and Preservation Review Board**  
**Regular Meeting – July 7, 2003 at 7:30 PM**

**PRESENT: Members:** Steve Melnyk  
Trip Pierson  
Marcia Watt  
Ken Willard  
**Excused:** Blake Held  
**Attorney:** Jeffrey Turner  
**Board Liaison:** Robert Corby  
**Building Inspector:** Skip Bailey  
**Recording Secretary:** Mary Marowski

The meeting was called to order by Member Watt, acting chairperson, at 7:45 p. m.

**1. Julietta Fiscella – 16 South Main Street – Sign**

**Present:** Julietta Fiscella

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/25/03. Building inspector approved 6/30/03.

**Discussion:** Ms. Fuscella, shop owner, has proposed an amended sign application for a wood sign measuring 24" x 24". Lettering (Jf JULIETTA FISCELLA NEW YORK FASHION DESIGN) will be painted and sign will be screw mounted (centered) onto the fence as depicted in the photos submitted.

**Motion:** Member Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to approve the sign as amended.

**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes **Motion Carried**  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 7/7/03.

**2. Brian & Nicole Ladewig – 67 State Street – Porch Restoration/Railings**

**Present:** Mr. & Mrs. Ladewig

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/24/03. Building inspector approved 6/30/03.

**Discussion:** The owners have proposed to restore the existing porch. The round Tuscan style column bases and floor boards are badly deteriorated. The existing steps, added by the previous owner, which are aesthetically incorrect are creating a safety problem. The porch previously had a railing that the current owner would like to add back to the home for safety and historical accuracy. The standard railing will have a 2 ½" top rail and 3 ½" bottom rail. The decking will be mahogany. Lattice work will be in the same lines as the railing. Newel posts and the diamond pattern (optional with the Board and duplicating what is currently on the porch columns) will be added to the stair railing. The spindles for the railings will be 1 ½".

**Motion:** Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Watt, to approve the application as submitted providing a submission of dimensions for the latticework underneath the porch, thickness of the railings, and dimensions for the newell posts, the diamond pattern, and cap size.

**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes **Motion Carried**  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 7/7/03.

**3. Sean Adams – 17 Eastview Terrace – Porch removal and new porch**

**Present:** Sean Adams

**Application:** Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 6/30/03.

**Discussion:** The owner is proposing to enclose the existing porch to create a bathroom and build an additional porch (5' x 12'2") in the back of his Tudor revival home. This porch will have an arch entry. The small square window will be removed. He will also be moving the two double hung windows on the front of the porch (rear elevation) to replace similar windows on the west elevation which have been rotted by carpenter ants. The crank out casement window on this elevation will be

eliminated and shingled over to match the existing house. The two side by side kitchen windows on the west elevation will be removed and replaced as noted. The pitch of the roof for the new porch will be the same as that of the existing house. Architectural shingles will be used for the roof. The Board stated they needed dimensional drawings submitted.

**Motion:** Member Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Member Pierson, to accept the application as submitted noting the two existing double hung, side by side, kitchen windows on the rear elevation will be removed. The small square window on the rear elevation will be removed and the existing porch door will be repositioned to the rear elevation using the small window location. The two side by side porch windows will be replaced in kind with the two windows from the existing porch rear elevation and installed on the rear elevation. The two casement windows, not original to the home, will be removed and the area sided. This approval is contingent upon the receipt, review and approval of satisfactory side elevation drawings.

**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

#### **4. St. Louis Church – 60 South Main Street – Landscaping/parking area screening:**

##### **Historic relevance (referral from Planning Board)**

**Discussion:** The Planning and Zoning Board and Architectural Preservation and Review Board has previously approved the terrace project for St. Louis. The APRB Board having been asked by the Planning Board to comment on the proposed landscaping noted that the proposed plantings preserve the view of the late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> century building and is appropriate for the site. The Board listened to comments from Robert Corby, historic architect, and determined that the APRB had no objections to the proposed landscaping.

#### **5. Talbots – 66 Monroe Avenue - Signs and exterior lighting**

**Present:** Bob Barkstrom, Architect

**Application:** Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved on 6/26/03.

**Discussion:** Mr. Barkstrom is proposing gooseneck lighting for the new porch section near Forsythe's Jewelers, Talbot's Women's store in the rear of the building. There will be eight gooseneck lights on the west elevation of the structure (four goosenecks shining over the "Talbots" sign and four over the "Forsythe" sign) There will be two new Talbots awnings with logo replacing the three existing awning on the south elevation. A new "Talbots" sign will be added to the east elevation of the building. The sign will be identical to the existing signs. A new free standing, fiberglass sign (identical to the Talbot's and Forsythe building signs) is proposed to be mounted to composite white columns with lighting embeded flush with the ground. Parking lot lighting will have rectangular are cutoff flood lights (LACW series/AC2-16). Wall packs will be removed.

**Motion:** Signs: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Willard, to approve the applied or painted, or metal signs.

**Vote:** Melynk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*  
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

**Motion:** Lighting: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the CDB series sign with ground lights.

**Vote:** Melynk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*  
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

**Motion:** All gooseneck lights are approved as submitted. (eight on the west elevation and four on the east elevation.) Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Willard, to approve the gooseneck lights.

**Vote:** Melynk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*  
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

**Motion:** Parking lot lighting: Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the shoe box lighting for the parking lot noting the poles are 17' tall and that all lights line up with one another and show a down cast lights.

**Vote:** Melynk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – abstain; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*  
The decision is filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

#### **6. Trip & Ani Pierson – 10 South Street – Step**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/25/03. Building inspector approved 6/30/03.  
**Discussion:** Mr. Pierson is proposing to install 1 wooden step (1" h x 8" w x 75" l). Step will be painted to match the existing porch. **Findings of fact:** Existing concrete step is in disrepair and is not original to the house.  
**Motion:** Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the application as submitted.  
**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – abstain; Watt – yes; Willard – yes **Motion Carried**  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk 7/7/03.

**7. Robert Michaels- 71 State St.- Siding**

**Present:** Robert Michaels  
**Application:** Submitted and date stamped 6/27/03. Building inspector approved 6/30/03.  
**Discussion:** Mr. Michaels stated his 1977 home has been sided with Masonite siding that has badly deteriorated due to its age. He is proposing to replace it with a heavy grade vinyl application. Mr. Michaels further stated he spoke with a Georgia Pacific masonite siding vendor and that this particular material is no longer available and upon a site visit, the siding is not repairable. He would also like to replace the existing metal doors with French doors. The Board expressed concern about the use of vinyl on this property. Due to the home's prominent location and Village Code, the Board requested to have an expert submit a property assessment. This application is **held open** pending the review of the assessment.  
**Motion:** Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to hold open the application pending a property assessment by a suitable expert and detail drawings of the eaves, overhangs, and other details.  
**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes **Motion Carried**  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

**8. Pierre Heroux/ Simply Crepes- 7 Schoen Place- Porch entrance**

**Present:** Pierre Heroux  
**Application:** Submitted, date stamped, and building inspector approved 6/30/03.  
**Discussion:** The shop owner is proposing to install a deck across the front elevation in front of his store. This deck will have a small shed roof attached to 4 x 4 posts, 4" x 4" or 6" x 6" wrapped header with brackets board on gable. There will be a gutter across the front roof line. The owner will shingle the shed roof to match the existing building. The existing door will remain. The deck will have 1 1/2" x 1 1/2" pickets with an 8" top rail and latticework underneath the deck. The pressure treated wood will be stained or painted. This application was amended to eliminate the steps at the west end of the deck which are shown on the submitted drawing.  
**Motion:** Member Pierson made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to approve the amended application, amended to include the elimination of the steps at the west end. The deck will extend beyond the open barn door, brackets will be removed. The approval is subject to Planning and Zoning approval. **Findings of fact:** Individual properties sufficiently different to allow for improvement regarding the existing decking.  
**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – yes; Watt – yes; Willard – yes **Motion Carried**  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

**9. DeAnn Schenkel – 83 South Street – Replacement Garage Doors**

Applicant was not present, however, notified the building inspector that she gave the Board permission to vote on her open application for garage door replacement on her 1870's barn.

After careful review, the Board has **denied** the application for steel replacement garage doors. The **finding of fact** are as follows:

1. The Code of the Village of Pittsford, Section 210-60 A (1)(c).
2. The Code of the Village of Pittsford, Section 210-61 C.
3. The existing garage doors (part of the 1870's barn structure), although not original, are wood.
4. Doors were found to be repairable.
5. Replacement wood doors are available.
6. Proposed material and design is inappropriate for the 1870's barn.

7. The barn is a prominent structure on South Street.  
**Motion:** Member Watt made a motion, seconded by Member Melnyk, to deny the garage door siting the findings of fact listed above.

**Vote:** Melnyk – yes; Pierson – no; Watt – yes; Willard – yes *Motion Carried*  
The decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on 7/7/03.

**10. St. Paul's Lutheran Church- 28 Lincoln Ave.:** A special meeting for a **site visit** to assist with design issues was requested and will be scheduled based on the Board's availability.

There being no further to discuss, the meeting was adjourned at 11:25 PM.

---

Mary Marowski, Recording Secretary