

**Village of Pittsford  
Architectural and Preservation Review Board  
Regular Meeting – July 7, 2005 at 7:30 PM**

**PRESENT:**

<b>Chairperson:</b>	<b>Steve Melnyk</b>
<b>Members:</b>	<b>John Limbeck Scott Latshaw</b>
<b>Attorney:</b>	<b>Jeff Turner</b>
<b>Recording Secretary:</b>	<b>Linda Habeeb</b>

Chairperson Melnyk called the meeting to order at 7:30.

**1. Benjamin Zombek, 11 Wood Street ~ Fence  
Present: Benjamin Zombek**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped on 5/31/05.

**Discussion:** The applicant is requesting approval for an amendment to a previously -approved fence, the addition of a lamppost to the fence, a rake overhang for the roof, and repairs to the porch.

The applicant is proposing altering the design of the fenceposts to be flush with the front of the fence . The applicant submitted detailed drawings with dimensions of the lamppost. The proposed lamppost will be made of aluminum, painted white, located on the corner of the fence, and measuring 7' in height, not including the light fixture. The applicant is also proposing replacing the roof and adding a rake overhang to the front, the front porch, and the rear of the house.

The application also includes repairs to the porch and replacement of the railings. The Board is holding open this portion of the application, pending submittal of further information regarding these repairs.

**Motion:** Member Limbeck made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Melnyk, to approve the application, as submitted, the posts to be 41" in height and flush with the pickets, the picket spacing to be adjusted according to the submitted materials, the rake overhang on the roof to be as shown on the submitted dimensional drawings, and leaving open the portion of the application regarding the porch repairs.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried.* **This decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on July 7, 2005.**

**2. Stephen Sercu, 31 N. Main St. ~ Door  
Present: Stephen Sercu**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped on 6/8/05.

**Discussion:** The applicant stated that the green door on the side of the building was painted a blue color. He stated that he did not replace the door, just painted the existing door a different color.

The Board stated that if it was the same door and not a replacement, then the Board does not have jurisdiction over the door.

**3. Pontillo's Pizza, 20 State St. ~ Window**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped on 6/22/05.

**Discussion:** The applicant is requesting approval to replace the 41" x 61½" plate glass window on the Northwest side of the building with a wooden, double-hung window of the same size. The window and trim will be painted white and will include a full screen.

**Motion:** Chairperson Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Member Limbeck, to approve the application, as submitted, for a wood window, to be painted white.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried. This decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on July 7, 2005.*

#### **4. Ty Hookway, 10 State St. ~ Door**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped on 6/27/05.

**Present:** Ty Hookway

**Discussion:** The applicant proposes replacing the existing door on the front of the building with a metal door. The Board requested that the applicant paint the grille on the front door to match the door. The applicant stated that 6" of clapboard siding will be exposed at the edge of the trim of the door.

The applicant also provided dimensioned drawings for a proposed door on the alley side of the building. The applicant further proposes installing a wood sign with a light in the same place as the previous (Pontillo's) sign. The applicant stated that he is amending his application to include the relocation of the existing gooseneck light on the East side of the building to the West side and centered over the sign.

**Motion:** Member Limbeck made a motion, seconded by Member Latshaw, to approve the application to replace the front door with a similar door, contingent on the applicant's providing a cutsheet for the replacement door, and amending the application to relocate the existing gooseneck light on the East side of the building to the West side of the building, centered over the sign, the sign to be in the color and configuration submitted, and to add a door on the North side of the building, in accordance with submitted drawings, with the grilles painted to match the color of the door.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried. This decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on July 7, 2005.*

#### **5. Derek Cornelius, 76 N. Main St ~ Garage**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped on 6/22/05.

**Present:** Derek Cornelius

**Discussion:** The applicant is proposing rebuilding the current garage at 76 North Main Street. The garage is to be built on the foundation of the existing garage, including the foundation that is currently open in back. A 3' x 35' section on the North side will be removed to meet fire and zoning codes. A corresponding 3' x 35' section will be added on the South side. The proposal also includes adding to the foundation by approximately 6' x 10' on the Southeast corner of the current foundation, creating a foundation measuring 20' (w) x 35' (l), with a setback from the property line of 3 feet. The applicant stated that the material for the siding will be wood, with a 4-inch reveal to match the house. The garage will be painted yellow with white trim. The roof shingles will be black/gray, matching the house. Also proposed is the installation of a standard garage door on gable end facing West, two doors on the East north side and the Southwest side, one French door on the Southeast side, and five windows, three on the North side, one on the East side, and one on the South side. The applicant further stated that the old sliding door will be saved and installed inside of the garage separating the car space from the workshop/storage area, and any siding in good condition may also be saved. The applicant stated that little else is salvageable, because most of the wood in the current garaged is rotted.

The Board noted that the structure is an 1860's Victorian House, and the garage/shed dates from around the 1900's. Chairperson Melnyk noted that since the APRB is a preservation board, there is a very high standard for demolition. The Secretary of the Interior's Standards state that deteriorated historic features shall be repaired rather than replaced. The Board suggested that the

applicant consult with Bero Architecture, through Historic Pittsford, and possibly consider moving the structure to another area of the property, in lieu of demolition.

**Motion:** Chairperson Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Member Limbeck, to approve the application for a garage with wood windows, a painted metal door, and cedar siding, contingent on the approval of the demolition or relocation of the existing structure, and contingent on the applicant's providing a cutsheet for the garage door.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried.* **This decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on July 7, 2005.**

**6. St. Louis Church, 46 & 64 S. Main Street**

**Present: Sally Shrecker  
Jeff LaTour, Contractor**

**Application:** Submitted and date stamped on 6/6/05, and building inspector approved on 6/7/05.

**Discussion:** The application is for two projects:

**Project A:** The applicants are proposing to enlarge the current 6'10" wide rear porch at 46 S. Main Street by 9' in width, bringing the porch across the full width of the rear of the house and meeting the corner of the house. The existing porch will be removed. The new porch will replicate the existing porch in design, posts, korbels, bead ceiling, and railing. The height of the railing will be raised from 29" to 36" for safety.

The Board stated, as a *finding of fact*, that the structure is minimally visible from the public way; only a small portion of the roof is visible from the street.

**Motion:** Member Limbeck made a motion, seconded by Chairperson Melnyk, to approve the application, as submitted.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried.* **This decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on July 7, 2005.**

**Project B:** The applicants propose installing 6-foot high, chain-link fencing on the west edge of the basketball court in order to enclose the open side between the existing end-goal fencing. The proposed fence would separate rolling balls and running children from the active parking area. The gates would allow access to the field and court for snow storage.

Board members stated that this type of fence is appropriate for a school playground.

**Motion:** Member Limbeck made a motion, seconded by Member Latshaw, to approve the application for a chain-link fence, as submitted.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried.* **This decision was filed in the Office of the Village Clerk on July 7, 2005.**

**Minutes:** June 6, 2005

**Motion:** Chairperson Melnyk made a motion, seconded by Member Limbeck, to approve the June 6, 2005 minutes as amended.

**Vote:** Limbeck – yes; Melnyk – yes; Latshaw – yes. *Motion carried.*

**ADJOURNMENT:**

There being no further business, Chairperson Melnyk adjourned the meeting at 9:30.

APRB  
7/7/05 Meeting

---

Linda Habeeb, Recording Secretary